

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,916	02/28/2002		Jayaraman Ramaswamy Iyer	062891.0586	1692
5073	7590	12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO	OTTS L.L	P.	JONES, PRENELL P		
2001 ROSS . SUITE 600	AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				2668	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	47.
	10/086,916	IYER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prenell P. Jones	2668	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-17,19,24,25,27 and 29</u> is/are re	-		
7) Claim(s) <u>5,18,20-23,26,28 and 30</u> is/are object			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	, ,
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		.,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Sta	age .
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	bd	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment/s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview :	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-0)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/03.) 5) ☐ Notice of I 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15)2)
and the second s			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/086,916

Art Unit: 2668

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 27, in line 1, Applicant is claiming "The computer readable medium of claim 23," which is unclear to Examiner as to exactly what Applicant is claiming. Claim 23 is not a computer readable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2668

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gopikanth (PG PUB US 2003/0129971).

Regarding claim 1, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager, a mobile sets a class of service (CoS) for wireless traffic (paragraph 0031-0037, PLMN defines CoS as it is associated with synchronization of channel bandwidth and its' availability to provide connection for user to establish session), and a BTS establish communication session with mobile, BTS determines CoS for session set by mobile (paragraph 0018-0021, 0033, 0038-0041, BTS provides channel bandwidth for as associated with mobile required CoS)

Art Unit: 2668

Regarding claim 2, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses packets (radio frames) in a radio environment (paragraph 0014-0020, communication as associated in a packet-switched radio environment).

Regarding claim 3, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles, whereby the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses mobile setting CoS for traffic in a particular field (paragraph 0034, mobile requires that in setup the CoS code uses a "1" in the no guaranteed bandwidth field).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopikanth (PG PUB US 2003/0129971) in view of Koo et al (PG PUB US 2001/0046220).

Art Unit: 2668

Regarding claim 4, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. However, Gopikanth is silent a transport format combination indicator field (TFCI). In a radio mobile communication system, Koo discloses utilizing a 2-bit TFCI as associated with the transmission of radio frames and service classes (paragraph 0065, 0243, 0535, 0630). Therefore, it would have been motivated to implement TFCI in radio frames as taught by Koo with the teachings of Gopikanth for the purpose of further controlling and managing services assigned to subscribers as well as minimizing latency as associated with class of service.

8. Claims 6, 7, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 19, 25, 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopikanth (PG PUB US 2003/0129971) in view of Wang et al (US PAT 6,775,268).

Regarding claim 6, 11, 16 and 24, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. However, Gopikanth is silent on mapping traffic to routing channels with respect to CoS. In a wireless radio communication system wherein mapping packet data as associated with categories, Wang discloses mapping polices for mapping packet data to channels as associated with QoS/CoS (Fig. 3-5, col. 7, line 33-67, col. 9, line 1-40, col. 10, line 5-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2668

invention to be motivated to implement mapping packet data to channels with respect to CoS as taught by Wang with the teachings of Gopikanth for the purpose of further managing communication services among subscribers as well as minimizing latency.

Regarding claim 7, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses packets (radio frames) in a radio environment (paragraph 0014-0020, communication as associated in a packet-switched radio environment).

Regarding claim 8, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles, whereby the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses mobile setting CoS for traffic in a particular field (paragraph 0034, mobile requires that in setup the CoS code uses a "1" in the no guaranteed bandwidth field).

Regarding claim 10, Wang further discloses mapping polices for mapping packet data to channels as associated with QoS/CoS in a wired telecommunication link (Fig. 2, col. 5, line 65 thru col. 6, line 20).

Regarding claim 13, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles

Art Unit: 2668

whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses packets (radio frames) in a radio environment (paragraph 0014-0020, communication as associated in a packet-switched radio environment).

Regarding claim 17, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses packets (radio frames) in a radio environment (paragraph 0014-0020, communication as associated in a packet-switched radio environment).

Regarding claim 19, Wang further discloses assembling/encapsulate wireless frames into IP data packets (col. 12, line 17-31).

Regarding claim 25, as indicated above, Gopikanth discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager. Gopikanth further discloses packets (radio frames) in a radio environment (paragraph 0014-0020, communication as associated in a packet-switched radio environment).

Regarding claim 29, Wang further discloses assembling/encapsulate wireless frames into IP data packets (col. 12, line 17-31).

Art Unit: 2668

9. Claims 9, 14, 15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopikanth (PG PUB US 2003/0129971) in view of Wang et al (US PAT 6,775,268) as applied to claims 6, 7, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 19, 24, 25 and 29 above, and further in view of Koo et al (PG PUB US 2001/0046220).

Regarding claims 9, 14, 15 and 27, as indicated above, Gopikanth and Wang combined discloses communication between multiple wireless communication systems wherein the architecture includes base transceiver stations in communication with mobile networks (PLMN) and mobiles whereby, the QoS is monitored by a QoS manager, mapping polices for mapping packet data to channels as associated with QoS/CoS. However, both Gopikanth and Wang are silent on utilizing transport format combination indicator (TFCI). In a radio mobile communication system, Koo discloses utilizing a 2-bit TFCI as associated with the transmission of radio frames and service classes (paragraph 0065, 0243, 0535, 0630). Therefore, it would have been motivated to implement TFCI in radio frames as taught by Koo with the combined teachings of Gopikanth and Wang for the purpose of further controlling and managing services assigned to subscribers as well as minimizing latency as associated with class of service.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 5, 18, 20, 21-23, 26, 28 and 30 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the combined prior art discloses in a wireless radio communication system utilizing QoS/Cos for assigning packets to channels, they fail to teach or suggest

Art Unit: 2668

with respect to claim 5, 20, 21, 30, differentiated service code point field in the header information of each Internet Protocol packet, and class of service mapped into differentiated service code point field, class of service indication in the differentiated services code point field, w/r to claims 18, 22, 23 and 26, transport format combination indicator field operable to carry the class of service indication, and w/r to claim 28, provide CoS indication during communication session for insertion into wireless traffic.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

December 10, 2005

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER